

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,622	VRANA ET AL.
	Examiner Jermie Cozart	Art Unit 3726

All Participants:

(1) Jermie Cozart.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Ray Scott.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2006

Time: 3:50pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

11-14 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Ray Scott, the Examiner informed Mr. Scott of the minor informalities concerning claims 11-14 and 19. Mr. Scott agreed to amend claims 11-14 by examiner's amendment in order to correct the minor informalities and to place the application in condition for allowance.